

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/448,253 11/24/1999		ALAN EDWARD BELL	AM9-99-0122	4831	
7590 07/27/2004			EXAMINER		
JOHN L ROC	GITZ	SHERR, CRISTINA O			
ROGITZ & AS	SSOICATES				
750 B STREET	Γ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 3120		3621			
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			DATE MAIL ED: 02/27/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M		
•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Ψ,		
•		09/448,25	53	LOTSPIECH ET AL	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit			
		Cristina O	Sherr	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de 0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statucure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. ays, a reply within the stat my period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply butory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this con ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 2 May 2003					
2a)□	•						
3)	, 						
-/-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8, 13-24</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vectorial claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8,13-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	□ accepted or b) n to the drawing(s) be correction is require	ne held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFF	• •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Appli ents have been rec e 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-	152)		

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed May 2, 2003. Claims 1,7,13, 21, and 23 have been amended. Claims 1-8 and 13-24 are pending in this case.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 13-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cookson (US 6,591,365B1).
- 5. Regarding claim 1 –

Cookson discloses a computer-based system for inhibiting unauthorized recording of digitized music, comprising a cryptography module including logic executable by a provider computer, the logic including, for at least a segment of the music, obtaining an authorized digital signature, and then associating the authorized digital signature with the music, the authorized digital signature being obtained solely by hashing the segment with a cryptographic hash function, no user identification being used; and a

Application/Control Number: 09/448,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

consumer module associated with a consumer music player and executable thereby to undertake logic including processing at least the segment of the music to obtain a test digital signature, and, only if the test digital signature matches the authorized digital signature, permitting at least one of: compression of the music, and recording the music, on the consumer music player (e.g. col 2 ln 15-30).

6. Regarding claim 2 –

Cookson discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the cryptography module derives authorized digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music, and associates the authorized digital signatures with the music (e.g. col 2 In 60-65).

7. Regarding claim 3 -

Cookson discloses the system of Claim 2, wherein the consumer module determines test digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music, the consumer module preventing at least one of compression of the music, and recording of the music, unless a predetermined relationship exists between test digital signatures and the authorized digital signatures (e.g. col 3 ln 1-8).

8. Regarding claim 4 –

Cookson discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the music is stored in a data stream on a disk, and the authorized digital signature is associated with the music by storing the authorized digital signature on the disk, apart from the stream (e.g. col 5 ln 40-65).

9. Regarding claim 5 –

Art Unit: 3621

Cookson discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the music is stored in a data stream on a disk, and the authorized digital signature is associated with the music by storing the authorized digital signature on the disk as part of the stream (e.g. col 5 ln 40-65).

10. Claims 7 - 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cookson (US 6,591,365B1).

11. Regarding claim 7

Cookson discloses a computer program storage device including a program of instructions for determining whether a request to compress and record digitized music should be honored, the program of instructions including computer readable code means for processing at least one segment of the music to obtain a test digital signature; computer readable code means for receiving an authorized digital signature associated with the music; and computer readable code means for permitting compression and recording of the music only if at least the test digital signature matches the authorized digital signature music by storing the authorized digital signature on the disk as part of the stream (e.g. col 2 ln 15-30).

12. Regarding claim 8 –

Cookson discloses the device of Claim 7, further comprising: computer readable code means for determining test digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music; and computer readable code means for preventing at least one of compression of the music, and recording of the music, unless a predetermined number or percentage of matches exist between test digital signatures and authorized digital signatures music

Application/Control Number: 09/448,253 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

by storing the authorized digital signature on the disk as part of the stream (e.g. col 3 In 1-8).

13. Claims 13 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cookson (US 6,591,365B1).

14. Regarding claim 13 -

Cookson discloses a method for facilitating the compression and storage, on a personal music player, of digitized music received on a disk in an authorized transaction, comprising the acts of recording the music on the disk along with at least one authorized digital signature derived from the music; receiving the disk; engaging the disk with a personal music player compression device; deriving at least one test digital signature from the music; and compressing the music and recording the music on the personal music player only if the test digital signature matches the authorized digital signature (e.g. col 2 ln 15-30).

15. Regarding claim 14 -

Cookson discloses the method of Claim 13, further comprising the acts of deriving plural authorized digital signatures from respective segments of the music; and recording the plural authorized digital signatures on the disk (e.g. col 2 ln 60 – col 3 ln 5).

16. Regarding claim 15 –

Cookson discloses the method of Claim 14, further comprising the acts of: deriving plural test digital signatures from plural segments of the music; and

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/448,253

Art Unit: 3621

undertaking the compressing and recording act only if a predetermined number or percentage of matches exists between test digital signatures and authorized digital signatures (e.g. col 2 ln 60 – col 3 ln 5).

17. Regarding claim 16 -

Cookson discloses the method of Claim 13, wherein the authorized digital signature is stored on the disk, apart from the music (e.g. col 2 ln 25-35).

18. Regarding claim 17 –

Cookson discloses the method of Claim 13, wherein the authorized digital signature is stored on the disk in the music (e.g. col 5 ln 40-65).

19. Regarding claim 19 -

Cookson discloses the system of Claim 4, further comprising a robust watermark on the disk (Col 2 In 60 – col 3 In10).

20. Regarding claim 20 -

Cookson discloses the method of Claim 13, further comprising recording a robust watermark on the disk (Col 2 In 60 – col 3 In10).

- 21. Claims 21 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cookson (US 6,591,365B1).
- 22. Regarding claim 21 -

Cookson discloses a computer program storage device including a program of instructions for determining whether a request to compress and record digitized music should be honored, the program of instructions undertaking method acts comprising processing at least one segment of the music to obtain a test digital signature; receiving

Application/Control Number: 09/448,253 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

an authorized digital signature associated with the music; and permitting compression and recording of the music only if at least the test digital signature matches the authorized digital signature (e.g. col 2 ln 15-30).

23. Regarding claim 22 -

Cookson discloses the device of Claim 21, wherein the method acts further comprise: determining test digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music; and preventing at least one of compression of the music, and recording of the music, unless a predetermined number or percentage of matches exist between test digital signatures and authorized digital signatures (e.g. col 3 ln 1-8).

24. Claims 23 - 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cookson (US 6,591,365B1).

25. Regarding claim 23 –

Cookson discloses a method for facilitating the compression and storage, on a personal music player, of digitized music received on a disk in an authorized transaction, comprising the acts of engaging the disk with a personal music player compression device; deriving at least one test digital signature from the music; and compressing the music and recording the music on the personal music player only if the at least one test digital signature matches at least one digital signature received on the disk (e.g. col 2 In 15-30).

26. Regarding claim 24 -

Cookson discloses the method of Claim 23, further comprising the acts of:

Art Unit: 3621

deriving plural authorized test digital signatures from respective segments of the music; and comparing the test digital signatures with authorized digital signatures on the disk (e.g. col 3 ln 1-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 27. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 28. Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cookson (US 6,591,365B1) in view of Moskowitz et al (US 5,822,432A).
- 29. Regarding claims 6 and 18 -

Moskowitz discloses a system and method the authorized digital signature is tagged with a signature date, and the consumer module processes the music using a current key or an expired key having a key date equal to or later than the signature date (e.g. col 9 ln 40-55).

30. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part

Page 8

Art Unit: 3621

of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Page 9

Conclusion

- 31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina O Sherr whose telephone number is 703-305-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 to 5:00.
- 32. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 33. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 10

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCENSER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500